

**Oregon State University Department of Crop and Soil Science
Non-classroom Teaching Peer Evaluation Form
03-27-07**

Presenter's Name _____ Date _____

Title/Topic _____ Invited Presentation: Yes _____ No _____

Event _____

Audience _____ Site _____

Were the site and the presentation style or approach compatible (matched)? Yes _____ No _____

Did the site present problems such as poor public address system or nasty weather? Yes _____ No _____

Evaluator name and signature _____

Place "X" or "checkmark" on line after question and provide additional comments or suggestions as appropriate. **Send original to the Department Head and a copy to the instructor.** Talk with the instructor about observations if warranted.

Delivering the information

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Improvement suggested</u>
1. Did the presenter provide a(n) _____ overview _____ summary or _____ otherwise emphasize important points?	_____	_____
2. Was the information presented in a logical manner?	_____	_____
3. Was a clear message delivered with appropriate detail for the topic and audience? ___ Too much detail	_____	_____
4. Was the information presented current (recent developments) and relevant?	_____	_____
5. Was the presenter technically correct and knowledgeable with the information presented?	_____	_____
6. Were problem-solving techniques or solutions provided, or emphasized, when appropriate?	_____	_____
7. Did the presenter use language that was appropriate for the participants, for example, did the presenter neither talk over their heads nor down to them?	_____	_____
8. Please note any annoying personal habits or mannerisms used by presenter in comments.		
9. Please comment on any of the above and specifically about presenter strengths below.		

Comments/suggestions:

Relating to the participants

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Improvement suggested</u>
10. Presenter related the information to participants' needs/interests?	_____	_____
11. Presenter encouraged questions/discussion about topic, when appropriate.	_____	_____
12. Questions from participants were effectively addressed or answered?	_____	_____
13. Presenter evaluated audience attention with questions or other methods?	_____	_____
14. Presenter directed participants to other sources of information relating to topic, where appropriate?	_____	_____
15. Please comment on any of the above and presenter strengths.	_____	_____

Comments/suggestions:

Teaching Aids

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Improvement suggested</u>
16. Were the teaching aids appropriate for the presentation and the site?	_____	_____
17. Did the presenter make creative use of teaching aids to enhance the presentation?	_____	_____
18. Did the instructor use variety in presentation or activity? (asking questions of the audience are a form of variety)	_____	_____
19. Was the presentation format clear and understandable (did the presenter use presentation tools effectively)?	_____	_____
20. Please comment on any of the above and presenter strengths.	_____	_____

Comments/suggestions:

Overall teaching ability

Please rate the presenter's teaching and presenting skill on the following (1 = needs improvement, 3 = satisfactory, 5 = outstanding, NA = do not wish to give rating or feel it is not appropriate)

21. Overall rating of teacher's performance?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
--	---	---	---	---	---	----

Comments/suggestions: